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Liaison Note

**Clarification on the development responsibility of the AtoN Information Metadata Product Specification**

# Introduction

For ANM18, there were several input papers referring to the AtoN Information Metadata Product Specification (PS), some of which had conflicting requests regarding the development of the referred document. Therefore, the PAP is requested to clarify the scope of the involvement required of ANM for the development of the AtoN Information Metadata Product Specification.

# Discussion

Regarding the AtoN Information Metadata Product Specification (PS), the following documents were received as input papers for ANM18:

1. ANM18/8/12 Liaison note on AtoN Metadata Product Specification (from e-NAV11);
2. ANM18/13/4 Draft S-100 GI Registry meeting report (from e-NAV11);
3. ANM18/13/5 Liaison note on PS ownership and development (from e-NAV11);
4. ANM18/13/6 AtoN Metadata Product Specification (from e-NAV11);
5. ANM18/9/19 Liaison note on PS (from EEP);
6. ANM18/9/20 AtoN Metadata Product Specification (from EEP);

The first liaison note (1) requests the ANM and EEP Committees to comment on the draft AtoN Metadata Product Specification and provide input for its further development, however, in the document referred in (2) ANM is requested to lead it’s development, and the document in (3). asks for ANM and EEP to review the Product Specifications referred to them and then progress their development.

The ANM Committee understands and recognizes the importance of defining the PS for the AtoN Metadata, and is keen to be involved providing a high level contribution about the type of information that should be incorporated in the system.

However, the scope of the document in analysis is, in some of its paragraphs, focused on very technical aspects of the metadata format for which the ANM committee doesn’t have the expertise, or the competency, to discuss.

Furthermore, it is important to note that the full development of the draft AtoN Metadata Product Specification is likely to require a time allocation that is not compatible within the current workload already programmed for the 2010 - 2014 Work Programme.

# Action requested

The PAP is requested to clarify the scope of the task requested of ANM, in order to define if the Committee should limit its intervention to commenting on the PS for the AtoN Metadata rather than leading its development.